IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO DIVISION OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS

Plaintiff/Petitioner 1,	:	Case No.: JUDGE:	KIM A. BROWNE
	:	3.6	
V.	:	Magistrate:	
	:		
Defendant/Petitioner 2.	:		
	;		
	FINDINGS OF FA COM STATUTOR		
The Court hereby finds the following v	with respect to child	l support:	
	FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The child support Obligor is:			
The child support Obligee is:			
DEVIATION IN CHILD SUPPORT:			
☐ R.C. 3119.23: The child support amount	t calculated pursu	ant to the basic	child support schedule and the
applicable worksheet would be unjust or ina	appropriate and th	erefore not be in	the best interest of the child or
children for the following reasons:			
(CH	ECK ALL THAT	APPLY)	
(A) Special and unusual needs of	f the child or child		eeds arising from the physical or
psychological condition of the chil (B) Other court-ordered payments;	ld or children;		
(C) Extended parenting time or extr	raordinary costs ass	ociated with parer	nting time, including extraordinary
travel expenses when exchanging	the child or children	n for parenting tim	ne;
\square (D) The financial resources and the			
(E) The relative financial resources assets, and the needs of each paren		arity in income be	tween parties or nouseholds, other
(F) The obligee's income, if the obl		ne is equal to or le	ss than one hundred percent of the
federal poverty level;			
☐ (G) Benefits that either parent recein ☐ (H) The amount of federal, state, as			
of the parents;	and room takes acta	ing para or estime	ned to be paid by a parent of both
(I) Significant in-kind contributions		uding, but not lim	ited to, direct payment for lessons,
sports equipment, schooling, or clo (J) Extraordinary work-related expe		ither parent:	
(K) The standard of living and circu			dard of living the child or children
would have enjoyed had the marria	C		*
(L) The educational opportunities			to the child or children had the
circumstances requiring a child sup (M) The responsibility of each parent			support of a child or children with
disabilities who is/are not subject t	to the support order	;	
(N) Post-secondary educational expenses paid for by a parent for the parent's own child or children regardless of whether the child or children is/are emancipated;			
regardless of whether the child or c (O) Costs incurred or reasonably an			s in compliance with court-ordered
reunification efforts in child abuse			1

(P) Extraordinary child care costs required for the child or children that exceed the maximum state-wide average cost estimate as provided in R.C. 3119.05(P)(1)(d), including extraordinary costs associated with
caring for a child or children with specialized physical, psychological, or educational needs; (Q) Any other relevant factor (please specify):
Based on the foregoing, child support is deviated:
Upward Downward in the amount of \$ per month Upward Downward in the amount of % per month
☐ R.C. 3119.231: Court-ordered parenting time exceeds ninety overnights per year (this deviation is in addition
to the required 10% reduction already reflected in the child support worksheet (pursuant to R.C. 3119.051(A))):
 □ Parenting time exceeds ninety (90) overnights but is <i>not</i> more than 146 overnights (overnights): □ A deviation is granted pursuant to extended parenting time or extraordinary costs associated with parenting time, including extraordinary travel expenses when exchanging the child or children for parenting time. The guideline child support obligation would be unjust or inappropriate and therefore not in the best interest of the child or children. □ A deviation is not granted.
Parenting time is equal to or exceeds 147 overnights (overnights). A deviation is <i>not</i> granted for the following reasons:
A deviation is granted pursuant to extended parenting time or extraordinary costs associated with parenting time, including extraordinary travel expenses when exchanging the child or children for parenting time. The guideline child support obligation would be unjust or inappropriate and therefore not in the best interest of the child or children.
Based on the foregoing, child support is deviated:
Downward in the amount of \$ per month Downward in the amount of
☐ R.C. 3119.24: Extraordinary circumstances associated with shared parenting (only if Shared Parenting is
ordered - check all that apply):
☐ Ability of each parent to maintain adequate housing for the child or children; ☐ Each parent's expenses, including child care expenses, school tuition, medical expenses, and other relevant expenses; ☐ Any other relevant circumstances (please specify):
Based on the foregoing, child support is deviated:
Upward Downward in the amount of \$ per month Upward Downward in the amount of % per month

DEVIATION IN CASH MEDICAL SUPPORT (if applicable): Pursuant to R.C. 3119.22, 3119.23 and/or 3119.24, the Court finds that the guideline cash medical support obligation
amount would be unjust or inappropriate and therefore not in the best interest of the child or children for the followin
reason(s):
☐ The same reasons referenced above.☐ A deviation is granted for the following reasons:
Based on the foregoing, cash medical support is deviated:
Upward Downward in the amount of \$per month Upward Downward in the amount of% per month
Child support as determined by the statutory guidelines, would result in an obligation on the part of Obligon
in the amount of \$per month, plus processing charge. Cash medical support is \$388.70 per year per chil
for a total annual cash medical support obligation of \$ Based on the income percentages of the parties
cash medical support as determined by the statutory guidelines would result in an obligation on the part of Obligor, i
the amount of \$per month, plus processing charge.
Based on the above, the Court ORDERS that there be an upward a downward no deviation (court or a downward)
\$ or%) from the guideline child support obligation on the part of Obligor, to \$ pe
month, plus processing charge and an upward a downward no deviation (of \ or%) from
the guideline cash medical support obligation on the part of the Obligor to \$ per month, plus processin
charge.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Based on the foregoing and pursuant to the applicable provisions of R.C. 3119.22, 3119.23, 3119.231 and/o 3119.24, the Court makes the following Conclusions of Law:
■ The amount ofchild supportcash medical support calculated pursuant to the basic child support schedul and applicable worksheet set forth in R.C. 3119.021 and 3119.022 would be unjust or inappropriate an therefore not be in the best interest of the minor child or children.
■ The deviation ofchild supportcash medical support is justified and reasonable and in the best interest of the minor child or children based on the foregoing Findings of Fact.
 Cash medical support shall be \$ per year, per child, for a total of \$ Once Obligee incur expenses greater than this amount, the parties shall reimburse expenses as set forth in their child support orders.
Therefore, Obligor is hereby ordered to pay child support in the amount of \$\frac{\sqrt{per month for th}}{\text{minor child or children}}\$, plus processing charge, and cash medical support in the amount of \$\frac{\sqrt{per month for th}}{\text{month for the minor child or children}}\$, plus processing charge.
IT IS SO ORDERED!
SIGNATURE PAGE ATTACHED
JUDGE KIM A. BROWNE

Approved by:		
Plaintiff/Petitioner 1	Defendant/Petitioner 2	
Counsel for Plaintiff/Petitioner 1	Counsel for Defendant/Petitioner 2	